UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/585,210 | 07/03/2006 | Jan Tuma | 51571 | 9617 | |
| 1609 7590 05/15/2009 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. 1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | THOMAS, ALEXANDER S | | |
| WASHINGTON,, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1794 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/15/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/585,210 | TUMA, JAN | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alexander Thomas | 1794 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Alexander Thomas</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Mr. Bicks</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2009</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: set of proposed claims. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner informed applicant that the proposed claims would not be entered if filed because they would raise new issues, specifically the proposed changes to claims 16 and 29 raise new issues. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| //Alexander Thomas// | | | | | | |